IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT
HON'BLE SRI DEVINDER GUPTA, THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

WRIT PETITION No.20452 of 2003

Between:

1. C.Venkateswar Rao
S/o C.V.Narasimha Rao
C.M.O.in the Unit of the Chief Judge,
City Small Causes Court,
Hyderabad, Hyderabad district, R/o Hyderabad.
2 Mohd.Imtiazuddin Siddiqui
S/o Late Mohd.Hisamuddin Siddiqui
in the unit of Chief Judge., City Civil Court,
Hyderabad, Hyderabad District, Hyderabad.
3 Medi Swamy S/o Late Ramaswamy
in the Unit of Chief Judge, City Civil Court., Hyderabad,
Hyderabad District.
4 S.Satyanarayana S/o Sreeramulu
in the unit of Chief Judge., City Civil Court., Hyderabad.,
Hyderabad District.
5 G.Latha, W/o K.Satyanarayana
in the unit of Chief Judge., City Small Causes Court.,
Hyderabad.
6 Syed Tahar Pasha S/o Syed Ismail
in the unit of the Chief Judge., City Small Causes Court.,
Hyderabad, Hyderabad District.
7 B.Ram Chandriah S/o Late Mallaiah
in the unit of the Chief Judge., City Small Causes Court.,
Hyderabad, Hyderabad District.
8 A.K.Subramanyam S/o A.V.Krishna Murthy
in the Unit of the Chief Judge., City Civil Court, Hyderabad.,
Hyderabad District.
9 Smt.D.Indira W/o K.Madhu Kumar

in the Unit of the Chief Judge, City Civil Court,
Hyderabad, Hyderabad District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1. The Hon'ble High Court of A.P.,
rep.by its Registrar (General).,
High Court Campus., Hyderabad.

2 Government of A.P.,
rep. by its Secretary, Law Department,
Secretariat,
Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ or Order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the 2nd respondent to take appropriate steps in pursuance of the representation dated 18-1-2003 submitted by the petitioners by declaring the non-action on the part of the 2nd respondent in not taking steps is illegal, arbitrary and violative of Article 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India

Counsel for the Petitioners :Mr. L.V.S.Nagaraju

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Smt. M.Bhaskara Lakshmi

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : Govt. Pleader for Home

The Court made the following :

ORDER: (Per Hon'ble the Chief Justice)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the writ petition has been rendered infructuous, and is disposed of as such. No costs.

_____
DEVINDER GUPTA, C.J.

_____
C.V.RAMULU, J

22-09-2004

bsc

To

1. The Registrar (General),
Hon'ble High Court of A.P.,
High Court Campus,
Hyderabad.

2. The Secretary, Law Department,
Government of A.P.,
Secretariat,
Hyderabad.

3. 2 CCs to Govt. Pleader for Home, High Court buildings, Hyderabad.
(OUT).

4. 2 C.D. copies